

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/332,545	06/14/1999	MICHAEL J URE	ED6/14/99US	3076
7	7590 07/15/2003			
MICHAEL J URE			EXAMINER	
10518 PHIL PLACE CUPERTINO, CA 95014			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/332,545	URE, MICHAEL J				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rasha S AL-Aubaidi	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	une 2003					
	s action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>		accoution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		· ***				
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-17}$ is/are pending in the application		* *				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, ==: •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## Response to Amendment

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-6, 9, 11-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102 (e) as being anticipated by Wood et al. (US Pat # 6,091,808).

Regarding claim 1, Wood teaches a method of retrieving desired phone number information using a network protocol (see col.6, lines 18-24), comprising the steps of: a user entering into the electronic device a network address of a party whose phone number information is to be retrieved (see col.6, lines 22-24); the electronic device sending a request to a server in accordance the network protocol, the request containing a predictable variant of said address; and the server sending the desired phone number to the electronic device (see abstract).

Regarding claim 2, Wood teaches the desired phone number information is a single phone number, comprising the further step of the electronic device automatically dialing the desired phone number (this reads on the dial button feature 75, see col.6, lines 46-55 and col.8, lines 38-44).

Regarding claim 3, wood teaches that the desired phone number information is a hypertext phone directory page (this reads on "hypertext features applied to frame 54 and 55 for example", see col. 5, lines 45-67), comprising the further step of the electronic device displaying the hypertext phone directory page.

Regarding claim 4, wood teaches that the user selecting a link within the hypertext phone directory page this reads on the user selecting and email address for example); and the electronic device cooperating with the server to retrieve and display a further hypertext phone directory page (this reads on the server displaying the personal information page, these features are addressed in the above rejection).

Regarding claim 5, wood teaches the user selecting a single phone number within the hypertext phone directory page; and the electronic device automatically dialing the selected phone number. Claim 5, is rejected for the same reasons for claims 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 6, Wood teaches a method of establishing a desired telecommunications connection, comprising the steps of: inputting a character string entered by a user (this reads on the user entry); determining whether or not the character string is a telephone number; if the string is a telephone number, establishing the desired telecommunications connection directly using the telephone number (this reads on the user entering or looking up a telephone number, in order to establish a

Application/Control Number: 09/332,545

Art Unit: 2642

connection by either pressing dial button 75 or redial button 63); if the character string is not a telephone number, establishing a preliminary telecommunications connection using the character string (this could by any entry by the user, see col. 6, lines 22-55); receiving a telephone number during the course of the preliminary telecommunications connection; and using the telephone number to establish the desired telecommunications connection (see col. 6, lines 22-55).

Regarding claim 9, Wood teaches an electronic system comprising:

A data processing core, including memory (this feature is inherent); coupled to the data processing core a modem (as in the PC of the user example where it has a modem, see col. 3, lines 60-63); a circuit-switched telecommunications transceiver; a packet-switched telecommunications transceiver; and 1/O circuitry (this is inherent); the combination further comprising stored program instructions within memory including instructions for: inputting a character string entered by a user (as in entering alphanumeric strings containing @ signs, see col. 7 line 36); determining whether or not the character string is a telephone number (this features has been addressed in the above rejection); if the string is a telephone number, establishing the desired telecommunications connection directly using the telephone number; if the character string is not a telephone number, establishing a preliminary telecommunications connection using the character string; receiving a telephone number during the course of the preliminary telecommunications connection; and displaying the telephone number

or using the telephone number to establish the desired telecommunications connection (see col. 3, lines 31-40).

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons with respect to claim 9.

Regarding claim 11, Wood teaches the electronic system is a personal computer coupled to the public switched telephone network (see col.3, lines 60-67, wherein the PC is coupled to PSTN).

Claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons with respect to claim 11.

Regarding claim 14, Wood teaches a method of establishing communications with a party using an electronic device (like using the PC), comprising: a user inputting to the electronic device an electronic address of a party with which communication is to be established; transparently prefacing the communication with a network communications exchange, established based on the electronic address, to obtain information for communicating with said party; and the electronic device using said information to communicate with said party (see col. 6, lines 11-64).

Regarding claim 15, Wood teaches that the information is a telephone number (see Abstract).

Page 6

Claim 17, basically reads on the user inputting an email address of the called party in order to establish a communication path.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 7-8, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the character string is an email address and the preliminary telecommunications connection is established with an email server in accordance with an email such as SMTP protocol.

Wood does not exactly teaches the use of Simple Mail Transfer Protocol

Regarding protocol, but the use of this protocol or anything like that will be obvious and well known in the art.

Regarding claim 8, Wood does not exactly teaches the string is a resource locator and the preliminary telecommunications connection is established with a hyper-media server in accordance with a hyper-media protocol such as Hyper-Text Transfer Protocol. This will be obvious since wood does use the http as well.

Regarding claim 10, Wood does not specifically teach the use of this feature in a cellular telephone or in a smart cellular telephone however, using a feature in a land-line

Page 7

Art Unit: 2642

telephone or in a cellular telephone would have been obvious. Many features have been used in a land-line or cellular phones for many years. For further explanation in Wood see col.3, lines 44-50).

Regarding claim 16, for the information is a cryptographic. One can obviously use cryptography for added security for communication over the Internet.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/02/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "Nowhere does Wood teach or suggest using discovering a telephone number using an email address or the like". Examiner believes that Applicant is reading into the claims since this feature is not recited in the claims.

Applicant's arguments regarding claim 1, Wood teaches retrieving desired phone number using network address of a party whose phone number information to be retrieved (this reads on looking up the personal directory information of the of the subscriber as shown in Fig.3, see col.6, lines 18-24).

Applicant's arguments regarding claim 6, Examiner would like to bring to applicant attention that Wood specifically teaches the subscriber can establish a telephone call by entering an email message into an email ID window, meaning the Art Unit: 2642

subscriber normally will enter for example, name @ sings, this of course will be considered as a character string (see col. 7, lines 34-39).

Applicant's argument regarding claims 9 and 13 are already addressed in claims 1 and 6.

Applicant's argument regarding claim 14, Wood teaches that the communication would be established when a subscriber look up or enter an email address for example in order to retrieve telephone number of a party (see rejection for claim 14).

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/332,545

Art Unit: 2642

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (703)

605-5145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to

4:30 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad F Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4700.

Examiner

Rasha S Al-Aubaidi

07/02/2003

Memar Masar

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600